arXiv:1210.2124v1 [math.AP] 8 Oct 2012

Finite–dimensional global attractor for a system modeling the $2D$ nematic liquid crystal flow

MAURIZIO GRASSELLI
Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Milano
Milano 20133, Italy
maurizio.grasselli@polimi.it
and
HAO WU
Shanghai Key Laboratory for Contemporary Applied Mathematics
School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University
Shanghai 200433, China
haowufd@yahoo.com

October 9, 2012

Abstract

We consider a $2D$ system that models the nematic liquid crystal flow through the Navier–Stokes equations suitably coupled with a transport-reaction-diffusion equation for the averaged molecular orientations. This system has been proposed as a reasonable approximation of the well-known Ericksen–Leslie system. Taking advantage of previous well-posedness results and proving suitable dissipative estimates, here we show that the system endowed with periodic boundary conditions is a dissipative dynamical system with a smooth global attractor of finite fractal dimension.

Keywords: Liquid crystal flow, kinematic transport, global attractors, finite fractal dimension.
AMS Subject Classification: 35B41, 35Q35, 76A15, 76D05.

1 Introduction

We consider the following hydrodynamical system that models the nematic liquid crystal flows (cf. e.g., [6, 7, 16, 22])

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_t + v\cdot\nabla v - \nu\Delta v + \nabla P \\
& \quad = -\lambda\nabla\cdot[\nabla d\odot\nabla d + \alpha(\Delta d - f(d))\otimes d - (1-\alpha)d\otimes(\Delta d - f(d))], && (1.1)\\
& \nabla\cdot v = 0, && (1.2)\\
& d_t + (v\cdot\nabla)d - \alpha(\nabla v)d + (1-\alpha)(\nabla^T v)d = \gamma(\Delta d - f(d)), && (1.3)
\end{aligned}
$$

in $Q\times(0,\infty)$. Here, Q is a unit square in $\mathbb{R}^2$ (the more general case $Q=\Pi_{i=1}^2(0,L_i)$ with different periods L_i in different directions can be treated in a similar way). The state variables v, d and P represent, respectively, the velocity field of the flow, the averaged macroscopic/continuum molecular orientations in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and the hydrodynamic pressure. The positive constants ν,λ and γ stand for viscosity, the competition between kinetic energy and potential energy, and macroscopic elastic relaxation time (Deborah number) for the molecular orientation field, respectively. The parameter $\alpha\in[0,1]$ is related to the shape of the liquid crystal molecule. The symbol $\nabla d\odot\nabla d$ denotes the 2×2 matrix whose (i,j)-th entry is given by $\nabla_i d\cdot\nabla_j d$, for $1\le i,j\le 2$. $\otimes$ is the usual Kronecker product, e.g., $(a\otimes b)_{ij}=a_ib_j$ for $a,b\in\mathbb{R}^2$. $f(d)=\frac{1}{\eta^2}(|d|^2-1)d:\mathbb{R}^2\mapsto\mathbb{R}^2$ with $\eta\in(0,1]$ can be seen as a penalty function to approximate the strict unit-length constraint $|d|=1$, which is due to liquid crystal molecules being of similar size (cf. [14]). This approximation fits well with the general theory of Landau's order parameter (cf. [13]) and the Ginzburg–Landau type energy is also consistent with the model on variable degree of orientation (cf. [8]). It is obvious that $f(d)$ is the gradient of the scalar valued function $F(d)=\frac{1}{4\eta^2}(|d|^2-1)^2:\mathbb{R}^2\mapsto\mathbb{R}$.

In the present paper, we consider system (1.1)–(1.3) subject to the periodic boundary conditions

$$v(x+e_i)=v(x),\quad d(x+e_i)=d(x),\qquad \text{for } x\in\mathbb{R}^2, \tag{1.4}$$

where unit vectors e_i $(i=1,2)$ are the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Namely, v,d are well defined in the 2-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^2=\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}$. Besides, we have the initial conditions

$$v|_{t=0}=v_0(x)\ \text{ with } \nabla\cdot v_0=0,\quad d|_{t=0}=d_0(x),\qquad \text{for } x\in Q. \tag{1.5}$$

Well-posedness issues for problem (1.1)–(1.5) for $\alpha\in[0,1]$ have been studied in [25] (see also [22] for the case $\alpha=1$ and [15, 26] for the general Ericksen–Leslie model). More recently, the existence of a global weak solution has been proven in [2] with boundary conditions which are not necessarily periodic. Prior to these results, a number of papers (see, e.g., [3,4,9–11,17–19]) have been devoted to the theoretical and numerical analysis of the highly simplified system studied first in [14]. In this case, the liquid crystal molecules are assumed to be "small" enough so that kinematic transport is neglected. However, such an assumption is physically questionable. On the contrary, system (1.1)–(1.3) accounts for the kinematic transport and also preserves dissipative properties expressed by a *basic energy law* similar to [14] (compare with [5]). Indeed, letting (v,d) be a classical solution to problem (1.1)–(1.5). Multiplying equation (1.1) with v, equation (1.3) with $-\lambda(\Delta d-f(d))$, adding them together and integrating over Q, we get (cf. also [16])

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_Q\big(|v|^2+\lambda|\nabla d|^2+2\lambda F(d)\big)\,dx=-\int_Q\big(\nu|\nabla v|^2+\lambda\gamma|\Delta d-f(d)|^2\big)\,dx.$$

Taking advantage of this dissipative feature, in [25] it has also been proven that a given solution converges to a single stationary state and an estimate of the convergence rate has been obtained (cf. [24] for the simplified model). Here we want to show that the dissipative dynamical system associated with problem (1.1)–(1.5) possesses a global attractor with finite fractal dimension (see [1,21] for the simplified model). Our argument is slightly nonstandard since we do not know whether the semigroup defined through the global solution of problem (1.1)–(1.5) is strongly continuous on the phase space. Thus, we achieve our goal by observing that the semigroup is closed in the sense of [20].

The plan of the paper goes as follows. In the next section we introduce the functional setup, we recall the well-posedness results established in [25] and we state the main theorem. Section 3 is devoted to prove a number of dissipative estimates that entail the existence of smooth compact absorbing sets in the phase space. This will yield the existence of the global attractor. Finally, in Section 4, we prove the finite dimensionality of the global attractor.

2 Preliminaries and Main Result

We recall the well-established functional setting for periodic boundary value problems (cf. e.g., [23, Chapter 2], also [22]):

$$\begin{aligned} H^m_p(Q) &= \left\{ v \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2) \mid v(x+e_i) = v(x) \right\}, \\ \dot{H}^m_p(Q) &= H^m_p(Q) \cap \left\{ v : \int_Q v(x)dx = 0 \right\}, \\ H &= \left\{ v \in L^2_p(Q, \mathbb{R}^2),\ \nabla \cdot v = 0 \right\}, \quad \text{where } L^2_p(Q,\mathbb{R}^2) = H^0_p(Q), \\ V &= \{ v \in \dot{H}^1_p(Q),\ \nabla \cdot v = 0 \}, \\ V' &= \text{the dual space of } V. \end{aligned}$$

For the sake of simplicity, we denote the inner product on $L^2_p(Q,\mathbb{R}^2)$ as well as H by $(\cdot,\cdot)$ and the associated norm by $\|\cdot\|$. The space $H^m(Q,\mathbb{R}^2)$ will be shorthanded by H^m and the H^m-inner product ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) can be given by $\langle v, u \rangle_{H^m} = \sum_{|\kappa|=0}^m (D^\kappa v, D^\kappa u)$, where $\kappa = (\kappa_1, ..., \kappa_n)$ is a multi-index of length $|\kappa| = \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_i$ and $D^\kappa = \partial^{\kappa_1}_{x_1}, ..., \partial^{\kappa_n}_{x_n}$. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 2$, we recall the interior elliptic estimate, which states that for any $U_1 \subset\subset U_2$ there is a constant $C > 0$ depending only on U_1 and U_2 such that $\|d\|_{H^m(U_1)} \leq C(\|\Delta d\|_{H^{m-2}(U_2)} + \|d\|_{L^2(U_2)})$. In our case, we can choose Q' to be the union of Q and its neighborhood copies. Then we have

$$\|d\|_{H^m(Q)} \leq C\left(\|\Delta d\|_{H^{m-2}(Q')} + \|d\|_{L^2(Q')}\right) = 9C\left(\|\Delta d\|_{H^{m-2}(Q)} + \|d\|_{L^2(Q)}\right). \tag{2.1}$$

Following [23], one can define mapping S (Stokes operator in the periodic case)

$$Su = -\Delta u, \quad \forall\ u \in D(S) := \{u \in H, \Delta u \in H\} = \dot{H}^2_p \cap H. \tag{2.2}$$

The operator S can be seen as an unbounded positive linear self-adjoint operator on H. If $D(S)$ is endowed with the norm induced by $\dot{H}^0_p(Q)$, then S becomes an isomorphism from $D(S)$ onto H. More detailed properties of operator S can be found in [23].

We shall denote by C the genetic constants depending on $\lambda, \gamma, \nu, Q, f$ and the initial data. Special dependence will be pointed out explicitly in the text if necessary. Since the parameters ν, λ and γ do not play important roles in the proof when $n = 2$, we just set $\nu = \lambda = \gamma = 1$ in the remaining part of the paper.

We now report the global existence of strong/classical solutions to problem (1.1)–(1.5) for $\alpha \in [0,1]$ proven in [25] (see [22] for the special case $\alpha = 1$).

Proposition 2.1. *Assume* $n = 2$. *For any initial data* $(v_0, d_0) \in V \times H^2_p(Q)$, *problem* (1.1)–(1.5) *admits a unique global solution such that*

$$v \in L^\infty(0,\infty;V) \cap L^2_{loc}(0,\infty;D(S)), \quad d \in L^\infty(0,\infty;H^2) \cap L^2_{loc}(0,\infty;H^3), \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover, $v(t), d(t) \in C^\infty(Q)$ *for all* $t > 0$.

In [25], the following continuous dependence result on the initial data has also been obtained.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that* (v_i, d_i) *are global solutions to the problem* (1.1)–(1.5) *corresponding to the initial data* $(v_{0i}, d_{0i}) \in V \times H^2_p(Q)$, $i = 1, 2$, *respectively. Moreover, assume that the following estimate holds for any* $T > 0$

$$\|v_i(t)\|_V + \|d_i(t)\|_{H^2} \le M, \quad \forall\, t \in [0,T]. \tag{2.4}$$

Then for any $t \in [0,T]$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(v_1 - v_2)(t)\|^2 + \|(d_1 - d_2)(t)\|^2_{H^1} + \int_0^t (\|\nabla(v_1 - v_2)(\tau)\|^2 + \|\Delta(d_1 - d_2)(\tau)\|^2)d\tau \\ \le\ & 2e^{Ct}\left(\|v_{01} - v_{02}\|^2 + \|d_{01} - d_{02}\|^2_{H^1}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where C *is a constant depending on* M *but not on* t.

Therefore, problem (1.1)–(1.5) has a unique strong solution. On account of the stated results, we are able to define a semigroup $\Sigma(t)$ by setting $(v(t), d(t)) = \Sigma(t)(v_0, d_0)$, for all $t \ge 0$ and for any $(v_0, d_0) \in V \times H^2_p$, where (v, d) is the solution to (1.1)-(1.5).

Remark 2.1. *We are not able to prove that* $\Sigma(t)$ *is Lipschitz continuous from* $V \times H^2_p$ *to* $V \times H^2_p$. *However, thanks to* (2.5), $\Sigma(t)$ *turns out to be a closed semigroup in the sense of [20].*

The dynamical system $(V \times H^2_p, \Sigma(t))$ is a gradient system since it has a global Lyapunov functional

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\|v(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla d(t)\|^2 + \int_Q F(d(t))dx, \tag{2.6}$$

which satisfies the following *basic energy law* (cf. [16, 22])

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}(t) = -\|\nabla v(t)\|^2 - \|\Delta d(t) - f(d(t))\|^2, \quad \forall\, t \ge 0. \tag{2.7}$$

The main result of this paper is as follows:

Theorem 2.1. $(V \times H^2_p, \Sigma(t))$ *possesses a connected global attractor* $\mathcal{A}$ *with finite fractal dimension that is bounded in* $(V \cap H^s_p) \times H^{s+1}_p$ *for any given* $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \ge 2$.

Remark 2.2. *Due to the existence of global Lyapunov functional* $\mathcal{E}$, *a well-known result entails that* $\mathcal{A}$ *coincides with the unstable manifold of the set of equilibria (cf. e.g., [28]) . Moreover, on account of Proposition 2.1 (see also Proposition 3.3), we have that* $\mathcal{A} \subset (C^\infty(Q))^2$.

3 Dissipative Estimates

We begin to prove the first basic dissipative inequality that is a direct consequence of the *basic energy law* (2.7).

Lemma 3.1. *There exist constants $C_0 > 0, \kappa > 0$ independent of initial data (v_0, d_0) such that*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}(t) + \kappa\mathcal{E}(t) \le C_0, \quad \forall\, t \ge 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Set

$$g = -\Delta d + f(d). \tag{3.2}$$

Multiplying (3.2) by d, integrating over Q and using the periodic boundary condition, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla d\|^2 + \int_Q (|d|^4 - |d|^2)dx = \int_Q g \cdot d\, dx.$$

By the Young inequality, we have

$$\int_Q |d|^2 dx \le \frac{1}{3}\int_Q |d|^4 dx + \frac{3}{4}|Q|. \tag{3.3}$$

Then it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla d\|^2 + \int_Q |d|^4 dx \le \frac{1}{2}\|g\|^2 + \frac{3}{2}\|d\|^2 \le \frac{1}{2}\int_Q |d|^4 dx + \frac{1}{2}\|g\|^2 + \frac{9}{8}|Q|. \tag{3.4}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla d\|^2 + \int_Q F(d)dx \le \|\nabla d\|^2 + \int_Q |d|^4 dx \le \frac{9}{4}|Q| + \| - \Delta d + f(d)\|^2.$$

On the other hand, we have the Poincaré inequality for $v \in V$ that $\|v\| \le C_P\|\nabla v\|$. As a result, we can see that there exist constants $C_0 > 0, \kappa > 0$ independent of initial data (v_0, d_0) such that

$$\kappa\mathcal{E}(t) \le \|\nabla v\| + \| - \Delta d + f(d)\|^2 + C_0,$$

which together with (2.7) yields (3.1). □

For any $R > 0$ and $(v_0, d_0) \in V \times H^2_p$ satisfying

$$\|v_0\|^2_{H^1} + \|d_0\|^2_{H^2} \le R,$$

it is easy to infer from Lemma 3.1 the following

Proposition 3.1. *There exists a time $t_0 = t_0(R)$ and positive constants M_1, M_2 independent of R such that*

$$\|v(t)\|^2 + \|d(t)\|^2_{H^1} \le M_1, \quad \forall\, t \ge t_0, \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\int_t^{t+1} \left(\|v(\tau)\|^2_{H^1} + \|d(\tau)\|^2_{H^2}\right) d\tau \le M_2, \quad \forall\, t \ge t_0. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. It easily follows from (3.1) that

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \le \mathcal{E}(0)e^{-\kappa t} + \frac{C_0}{\kappa}, \quad \forall\, t \ge t_0.$$

Taking

$$t_0 := \frac{1}{\kappa}|\ln \mathcal{E}(0) + \ln \kappa - \ln C_0|,$$

we have for all $t \ge t_0$,

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \le \frac{2C_0}{\kappa}.$$

This and (3.3), (3.4) implies that there exists M_1 independent of R such that (3.5) holds. Next, from (2.7) we see that

$$\int_t^{t+1} (\|\nabla v(\tau)\|^2 + \|-\Delta d(\tau) + f(d(\tau))\|^2) d\tau \le \mathcal{E}(t) \le \frac{2C_0}{\kappa}, \quad \forall\, t \ge t_0. \tag{3.7}$$

Using (3.5) and the following inequality

$$\|d\|_{H^2} \le C(\|\Delta d\| + \|d\|) \le C\left(\|-\Delta d + f(d)\| + \|d\|_{H^1}^3 + \|d\|_{H^1}\right), \tag{3.8}$$

we obtain the existence of M_2 such that (3.6) holds. ☐

Let us now set

$$A(t) = \|\nabla v(t)\|^2 + \|\Delta d(t) - f(d(t))\|^2. \tag{3.9}$$

In [25] the authors proved a higher-order differential inequality uniform in $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, namely,

Lemma 3.2. *The following inequality holds for the classical solution (v, d) to problem (1.1)–(1.5):*

$$\frac{d}{dt}A(t) + \|\Delta v(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla(\Delta d(t) - f(d(t)))\|^2 \le C\,[A^2(t) + A(t)], \quad \forall\, t \ge 0, \tag{3.10}$$

where C is a constant depending on $\|v_0\|, \|d_0\|_{H^1}$ and is independent of α.

Using (3.5), we can immediately deduce

Lemma 3.3. *The inequality (3.10) holds for the classical solution (v, d) to problem (1.1)–(1.5) for $t \ge t_0$, with C being a constant that only depends on M_1.*

As a result, we have

Proposition 3.2. *There exists positive constants M_3, M_4 independent of R such that for all $t_1 := t_0 + 1$, the following uniform estimates hold*

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + \|d(t)\|_{H^2}^2 \le M_3, \quad \forall\, t \ge t_1, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\int_t^{t+1} \left(\|v(\tau)\|_{H^2}^2 + \|d(\tau)\|_{H^3}^2\right) d\tau \le M_4, \quad \forall\, t \ge t_1. \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.3, (3.7) and the uniform Gronwall inequality that

$$A(t+1) \leq \frac{4C_0}{\kappa} e^{\frac{2C_0}{\kappa}}, \quad \forall\, t \geq t_0. \tag{3.13}$$

Then by (3.5) and (3.8), there exists M_3 independent of R such that (3.11) holds. Besides, we infer from Lemma 3.3 and (3.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_t^{t+1} (\|\Delta v(\tau)\|^2 + \|\nabla(\Delta d(\tau) - f(d(\tau)))\|^2) d\tau \\ \leq\ & A(t) + C \sup_{s\in[t,t+1]} (\|A(s)\|^2 + \|A(s)\|) \\ \leq\ & M_5, \quad \forall\, t \geq t_1 := t_0 + 1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where M_5 is independent of R. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|d\|_{H^3} &\leq C\left(\|\nabla \Delta d\| + \|d\|_{H^2}\right) \\ &\leq C\left(\|\nabla(\Delta d - f(d))\| + \|\nabla f(d)\| + \|d\|_{H^2}\right) \\ &\leq C\left(\|\nabla(\Delta d - f(d))\| + \|d\|^3_{H^2} + \|d\|_{H^2}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

we can infer from (3.14) and (3.11) that (3.12) holds. The proof is complete. □

Hence we have

Theorem 3.1. *The dynamical system $(V \times H^2_p, \Sigma(t))$ has a bounded absorbing set in the phase space $V \times H^2_p$. Namely, for any given $R > 0$ and each initial data (v_0, d_0) in the ball*

$$\mathcal{B}_R := \left\{(v_0, d_0) \in V \times H^2_p : \|v_0\|^2_V + \|d_0\|^2_{H^2} \leq R\right\},$$

there exists $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset V \times H^2_p$ whose radius is independent of R such that $(v(t), d(t)) = \Sigma(t)(v_0, d_0) \in \mathcal{B}_0$ for all $t \geq t_1(R)$.

We now prove some uniform higher-order estimates for the global solution (v, d). For this purpose, we will take advantage of the following (cf., e.g., [12]):

Lemma 3.4. *When $s \geq 2$, H^s is a Banach algebra. Assume that $f, g \in H^s$. Then we have*

$$\|fg\|_{H^s} \leq C\left(\|f\|_{L^\infty}\|g\|_{H^s} + \|f\|_{H^s}\|g\|_{L^\infty}\right),$$

where the constant C is independent of f, g.

Lemma 3.5. *For any $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \geq 2$, the solution (v, d) satisfies the inequality*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|v\|^2_{H^s} + \|d\|^2_{H^{s+1}}\right) + \|v\|^2_{H^{s+1}} + \|d\|^2_{H^{s+2}} \leq J(t), \quad \forall\, t > 0, \tag{3.16}$$

where J is a positive function only depending on the norms $\|d(t)\|_{H^2}$ and $\|v(t)\|_{H^1}$ as well as on the parameter s.

Proof. Taking the H^s inner-product of (1.1) with v and adding the H^{s+1} inner-product of (1.3) with d, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|v\|_{H^s}^2 + \|d\|_{H^{s+1}}^2\right) + \|\nabla v\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\nabla d\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 \\
= \ & -\langle v, v\cdot\nabla v\rangle_{H^s} - \langle v, \nabla\cdot(\nabla d\odot\nabla d)\rangle_{H^s} - \alpha\langle v, \nabla\cdot[(\Delta d - f(d))\otimes d]\rangle_{H^s} \\
& +(1-\alpha)\langle v, \nabla\cdot[d\otimes(\Delta d - f(d))]\rangle_{H^s} - \langle v\cdot\nabla d, d\rangle_{H^{s+1}} \\
& +\alpha\langle d\cdot\nabla v, d\rangle_{H^{s+1}} - (1-\alpha)\langle d\cdot\nabla^T v, d\rangle_{H^{s+1}} - \langle f(d), d\rangle_{H^{s+1}}. \qquad (3.17)
\end{aligned}$$

Arguing as in Proposition 3.2, we can easily show that if $v_0 \in V$ and $d_0 \in H^2$, then the uniform estimates holds

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^1} + \|d(t)\|_{H^2} \le C, \quad \forall\, t \ge 0,$$

where $C > 0$ depends on $\|v_0\|_{H^1}$ and $\|d_0\|_{H^2}$.

Using Agmon's inequality and suitable interpolation inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|d\|_{L^\infty} &\le C\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac12}\|d\|^{\frac12}, \\
\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty} &\le C\|d\|_{H^3}^{\frac12}\|d\|_{H^1}^{\frac12} \le C\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^{\frac{1}{2s}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{s-1}{2s}}\|d\|_{H^1}^{\frac12}, \\
\|\Delta d\|_{L^\infty} &\le C\|d\|_{H^4}^{\frac12}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac12} \le C\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^{\frac{1}{s}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{s-1}{s}}, \\
\|v\|_{L^\infty} &\le C\|v\|_{H^2}^{\frac12}\|v\|^{\frac12} \le C\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^{\frac{1}{2s}}\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{s-1}{2s}}\|v\|^{\frac12} \\
\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} &\le C\|v\|_{H^3}^{\frac12}\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac12} \le C\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^{\frac{1}{s}}\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{s-1}{s}}, \\
\|v\|_{H^s} &\le C\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^{\frac{s-1}{s}}\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{s}}, \\
\|d\|_{H^{s+k}} &\le C\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^{\frac{s-2+k}{s}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{2-k}{s}}, \quad k = 1, 0, -1.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can apply Lemma 3.4 to deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\langle v, v\cdot\nabla v\rangle_{H^s}| \\
\le \ & C\left(\|v\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla v\|_{H^s} + \|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|v\|_{H^s}\right) \\
\le \ & C\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^{\frac{s+1}{s}}\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{s-1}{s}}\|v\| + C\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^{\frac{2s+1}{2s}}\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{3s-1}{2s}}\|v\|^{\frac12} \\
\le \ & \varepsilon\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + C_\varepsilon\left(\|v\|_{H^1}^2\|v\|^{\frac{2s}{s-1}} + \|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{6s-2}{2s-1}}\|v\|^{\frac{4s}{2s-1}}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\langle v, \nabla\cdot(\nabla d\odot\nabla d)\rangle_{H^s}| = |\langle \nabla v, \nabla d\odot\nabla d\rangle_{H^s}| \\
\le \ & C\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla d\|_{H^s} + C\|\nabla v\|_{H^s}\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty}^2 \\
\le \ & C\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^{\frac1s}\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^{\frac{2s-1}{2s}}\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{s-1}{s}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{s+1}{2s}}\|d\|_{H^1}^{\frac12} + C\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^{\frac1s}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{s-1}{s}}\|d\|_{H^1} \\
\le \ & \varepsilon\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \varepsilon\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 + C_\varepsilon\left(\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{4(s-1)}{2s+1}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{2s+2}{2s-1}}\|d\|_{H^1}^{\frac{2s}{2s+1}} + \|d\|_{H^2}^2\|d\|_{H^1}^{\frac{2s}{s-1}}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\langle v\cdot\nabla d, d\rangle_{H^{s+1}}| \\
\le \ & C\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty}\|d\|_{H^{s+1}} + C\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla d\|_{H^{s+1}}\|d\|_{L^\infty} \\
& +C\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty}\|d\|_{L^\infty}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\le C\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^{\frac{1}{2s}}\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^{\frac{2s-1}{2s}}\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{s-1}{2s}}\|v\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{s+1}{2s}}\|d\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\quad +C\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^{\frac{1}{2s}}\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{s-1}{2s}}\|v\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|d\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\quad +C\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^{\frac{1}{2s}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{2s-1}{2s}}\|d\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|d\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\le \varepsilon\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^2+\varepsilon\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^2+C_\varepsilon\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{s-1}{s}}\|v\|\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{s+1}{s}}\|d\|_{H^1}\\
&\quad +C_\varepsilon\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{2s-2}{2s-1}}\|v\|^{\frac{2s}{2s-1}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{2s}{2s-1}}\|d\|^{\frac{2s}{2s-1}}+C_\varepsilon\|d\|_{H^2}^2\|d\|_{H^1}^{\frac{2s}{2s-1}}\|d\|^{\frac{2s}{2s-1}},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\langle f(d),d\rangle_{H^{s+1}}|\\
&\le C\left(\|d\|_{L^\infty}\|d\|_{H^{s+1}}+\|d\|_{L^\infty}^3\|d\|_{H^{s+1}}\right)\\
&\le C\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^{\frac{s-1}{s}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{1}{s}}(\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|d\|^{\frac{1}{2}}+\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|d\|^{\frac{3}{2}})\\
&\le \varepsilon\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^2+C_\varepsilon\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{2}{s+1}}\left(\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|d\|^{\frac{1}{2}}+\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|d\|^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2s}{s+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate the other four terms involving the parameter α on the right-hand side of (3.17). We notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\alpha\langle v,\nabla\cdot[(\Delta d-f(d))\otimes d]\rangle_{H^s}+\alpha\langle d\cdot\nabla v,d\rangle_{H^{s+1}}\\
=\;&\alpha\langle\nabla v,(\Delta d-f(d))\otimes d\rangle_{H^s}+\alpha\langle d\cdot\nabla v,d\rangle_{H^{s+1}}\\
=\;&-\alpha\langle\nabla v,f(d)\otimes d\rangle_{H^s}+\alpha\langle\nabla v,\Delta d\otimes d\rangle_{H^s}+\alpha\langle d\cdot\nabla v,d\rangle_{H^{s+1}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.18}$$

The first term is estimated as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha|\langle\nabla v,f(d)\otimes d\rangle_{H^s}|\\
\le\;&C\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}(\|d\otimes d\|_{H^s}+\||d|^2d\otimes d\|_{H^s})+C\|\nabla v\|_{H^s}\|f(d)\otimes d\|_{L^\infty}\\
\le\;&C\|d\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|d\|_{H^s}+C\|d\|_{L^\infty}^3\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|d\|_{H^s}+C\|\nabla v\|_{H^s}(\|d\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|d\|_{L^\infty}^4)\\
:=\;&I_1+I_2+I_3,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1&\le C\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|d\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^{\frac{1}{s}}\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{s-1}{s}}\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^{\frac{s-2}{s}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{2}{s}}\\
&\le \varepsilon\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^2+\varepsilon\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^2+C_\varepsilon\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{2(s-1)}{s+1}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{s+4}{s+1}}\|d\|^{\frac{s}{s+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2&\le C\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|d\|^{\frac{3}{2}}\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^{\frac{1}{s}}\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{s-1}{s}}\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^{\frac{s-2}{s}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{2}{s}}\\
&\le \varepsilon\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^2+\varepsilon\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^2+C_\varepsilon\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{2(s-1)}{s+1}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{3s+4}{s+1}}\|d\|^{\frac{3s}{s+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3&\le C\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}(\|d\|_{H^2}\|d\|+\|d\|_{H^2}^2\|d\|^2)\\
&\le \varepsilon\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^2+C_\varepsilon(\|d\|_{H^2}^2\|d\|^2+\|d\|_{H^2}^4\|d\|^4).
\end{aligned}$$

For the remaining two terms in (3.18), we have

$$\alpha\langle\nabla v,\Delta d\otimes d\rangle_{H^s}+\alpha\langle d\cdot\nabla v,d\rangle_{H^{s+1}}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha\langle \nabla v, \Delta d\otimes d\rangle_{H^{s-1}} + \alpha\sum_{|\kappa|=s}(D^\kappa\nabla v, D^\kappa(\Delta d\otimes d)) \\
&\quad +\alpha\langle d\cdot\nabla v, d\rangle_{H^s} + \alpha\sum_{|\kappa|=s+1}(D^\kappa(d\cdot\nabla v), D^\kappa d) \\
&= \alpha\langle \nabla v, \Delta d\otimes d\rangle_{H^{s-1}} + \alpha\langle d\cdot\nabla v, d\rangle_{H^s} + \alpha\sum_{|\kappa|=s}(D^\kappa\nabla v, D^\kappa\Delta d\otimes d) \\
&\quad +\alpha\sum_{|\kappa|=s}\sum_{|\xi|\le s-1,\,\kappa\ge\xi} C_{\kappa,\xi}(D^\kappa\nabla v, D^\xi\Delta d\otimes D^{\kappa-\xi}d) \\
&\quad -\alpha\sum_{|\kappa|=s}(D^\kappa(d\cdot\nabla v), D^\kappa\Delta d) \\
&= \alpha\langle \nabla v, \Delta d\otimes d\rangle_{H^{s-1}} + \alpha\langle d\cdot\nabla v, d\rangle_{H^s} + \alpha\sum_{|\kappa|=s}(D^\kappa\nabla v, D^\kappa\Delta d\otimes d) \\
&\quad +\alpha\sum_{|\kappa|=s}\sum_{|\xi|\le s-1,\,\kappa\ge\xi} C_{\kappa,\xi}(D^\kappa\nabla v, D^\xi\Delta d\otimes D^{\kappa-\xi}d) \\
&\quad -\alpha\sum_{|\kappa|=s}(d\cdot D^\kappa\nabla v, D^\kappa\Delta d) \\
&\quad -\alpha\sum_{|\kappa|=s}\sum_{|\xi|\le s-1,\,\kappa\ge\xi} C_{\kappa,\xi}(D^{\kappa-\xi}d\cdot D^\xi\nabla v, D^\kappa\Delta d) \\
&= \alpha\langle \nabla v, \Delta d\otimes d\rangle_{H^{s-1}} + \alpha\langle d\cdot\nabla v, d\rangle_{H^s} \\
&\quad +\alpha\sum_{|\kappa|=s}\sum_{|\xi|\le s-1,\,\kappa\ge\xi} C_{\kappa,\xi}(D^\kappa\nabla v, D^\xi\Delta d\otimes D^{\kappa-\xi}d) \\
&\quad -\alpha\sum_{|\kappa|=s}\sum_{|\xi|\le s-1,\,\kappa\ge\xi} C_{\kappa,\xi}(D^{\kappa-\xi}d\cdot D^\xi\nabla v, D^\kappa\Delta d) \\
&:= I_4+I_5+I_6+I_7, \qquad (3.19)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_4 &\le C\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|\Delta d\otimes d\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\nabla v\|_{H^{s-1}}\|\Delta d\otimes d\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\le C\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|\Delta d\|_{L^\infty}\|d\|_{H^{s-1}} + C\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|d\|_{H^{s+1}}\|d\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\quad +C\|v\|_{H^s}\|\Delta d\|_{L^\infty}\|d\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\le C\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^{\frac{1}{s}}\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^{\frac{s-2}{s}}\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{s-1}{s}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{s+2}{s}} + C\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^{\frac{1}{s}}\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^{\frac{s-1}{s}}\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{s-1}{s}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{s+2}{2s}}\|d\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad +C\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^{\frac{s-1}{s}}\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^{\frac{1}{s}}\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{s}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{3s-2}{2s}}\|d\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\le \varepsilon\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \varepsilon\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 \\
&\quad +C_\varepsilon\left(\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{2(s-1)}{s+1}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{2(s+2)}{s+1}} + \|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{2(s-1)}{s}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{s+2}{s}}\|d\| + \|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{2}{s}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{3s-2}{2s}}\|d\|\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_5 &\le C\|d\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla v\|_{H^s} + C\|d\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|d\|_{H^s} \\
&\le C\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}\|d\|_{H^2}\|d\| + C\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^{\frac{1}{s}}\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{s-1}{s}}\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^{\frac{s-2}{s}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{s+4}{2s}}\|d\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\le \varepsilon\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \varepsilon\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 + C_\varepsilon\left(\|d\|_{H^2}^2\|d\|^2 + \|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{2(s-1)}{s+1}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{s+4}{s+1}}\|d\|^{\frac{s}{s+1}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
I_6 \le C\sum_{|\kappa|=s}\sum_{|\xi|\le s-1,\,\kappa\ge\xi}\|D^\kappa\nabla v\|\|D^\xi\Delta d\|_{L^4}\|D^{\kappa-\xi}d\|_{L^4}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\le C\|v\|_{H^{s+1}} \sum_{0\le m\le s-1} \|d\|_{W^{m+2,4}}\|d\|_{W^{s-m,4}} \\
&\le C\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^{\frac{s-1}{s}}\big(\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{1}{s}}+\|d\|_{H^2}\big) \\
&\le \varepsilon\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^2+\varepsilon\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^2+C_\varepsilon\left(\|d\|_{H^2}^2+\|d\|_{H^2}^{2s}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_7 &\le C\sum_{|\kappa|=s}\sum_{|\xi|\le s-1,\,\kappa\ge\xi} \|D^\kappa\Delta d\|\|D^\xi\nabla v\|_{L^4}\|D^{\kappa-\xi}d\|_{L^4} \\
&\le C\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}\sum_{0\le m\le s-1}\|v\|_{W^{m+1,4}}\|d\|_{W^{s-m,4}} \\
&\le C\sum_{0\le m\le s-2}\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^{\frac{4s-2m-3}{2s}}\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^{\frac{2m+1}{2s}}\|d\|_{H^2}^{\frac{2m+3}{2s}}\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{2s-2m-1}{2s}} \\
&\quad +C\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^{\frac{s-1}{s}}\|v\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{s}}\|d\|_{H^2} \\
&\le \varepsilon\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^2+\varepsilon\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^2+C_\varepsilon\left(\|d\|_{H^2}^{2s+2}+\|v\|_{H^1}^{2s+2}+\|v\|_{H^1}^2\|d\|_{H^2}^{2s}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

The term

$$(1-\alpha)\langle v,\nabla\cdot[d\otimes(\Delta d-f(d))]\rangle_{H^s}-(1-\alpha)\langle d\cdot\nabla^T v,d\rangle_{H^{s+1}}$$

can be treated exactly as in (3.18) and (3.19). On the other hand, the interpolation inequalities and Young inequality yield that

$$\|v\|_{H^s}\le\varepsilon\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}+C_\varepsilon\|v\|_{H^1},\quad \|d\|_{H^{s+1}}\le\varepsilon\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}+C_\varepsilon\|d\|_{H^2}.$$

Collecting all the above estimates and taking ε sufficiently small, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|v\|_{H^s}^2+\|d\|_{H^{s+1}}^2\right)+\|v\|_{H^{s+1}}^2+\|d\|_{H^{s+2}}^2\le J(t), \tag{3.20}$$

where $J(t)$ depends only on $\|v(t)\|_{H^1}$, $\|d(t)\|_{H^2}$ and s. □

Remark 3.1. *Since $\alpha\in[0,1]$, it is easy to realize that the higher-order differential inequality (3.16) is uniform in α.*

Proposition 3.3. *For each $s\in\mathbb{N}$, $s\ge 2$, there exist positive constants M_6, M_7 independent of R such that for all $t_s:=t_0+s$, the following uniform estimates hold*

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^s}^2+\|d(t)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2\le M_6,\quad \forall\, t\ge t_s, \tag{3.21}$$

and

$$\int_t^{t+1}\left(\|v(\tau)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2+\|d(\tau)\|_{H^{s+2}}^2\right)d\tau\le M_7,\quad \forall\, t\ge t_s. \tag{3.22}$$

Proof. By (3.11), we can see that inequality (3.16) holds for $t\ge t_1$, with $J(t)$ being uniformly bounded by a constant $J_0=J_0(M_1,s)$ depending only on M_1 and s. We argue by induction on s. For $s=2$, it follows that

$$\int_t^{t+1}J(\tau)d\tau\le J_0(M_1,2),\quad \forall\, t\ge t_1.$$

We conclude from (3.12) and the uniform Gronwall inequality that

$$\|v(t+1)\|_{H^2}^2 + \|d(t+1)\|_{H^3}^2 \le M_4 + J_0(M_1, 2), \quad \forall\, t \ge t_1.$$

For $t \ge t_2 := t_1 + 1$, integrating (3.16) from t to $t+1$, we obtain

$$\int_t^{t+1} (\|v(\tau)\|_{H^3}^2 + \|d(\tau)\|_{H^4}^2) d\tau \le M_4 + 2J_0(M_1, 2), \quad \forall\, t \ge t_2 := t_1 + 1.$$

Assume that for $s = k$, we have the following uniform estimates for $t_k := t_1 + k - 1$:

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^k}^2 + \|d(t)\|_{H^{k+1}}^2 \le K_1, \quad \forall\, t \ge t_k, \tag{3.23}$$

$$\int_t^{t+1} \left(\|v(\tau)\|_{H^{k+1}}^2 + \|d(\tau)\|_{H^{k+2}}^2\right) d\tau \le K_2, \quad \forall\, t \ge t_k, \tag{3.24}$$

where K_1 and K_2 are constants independent of R. Then repeating the above argument, we can see that for $t \ge t_{k+1} := t_1 + k$,

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^{k+1}}^2 + \|d(t)\|_{H^{k+2}}^2 \le K_2 + J_0(M_1, k+1), \quad \forall\, t \ge t_{k+1},$$

$$\int_t^{t+1} \left(\|v(\tau)\|_{H^{k+2}}^2 + \|d(\tau)\|_{H^{k+3}}^2\right) d\tau \le K_2 + 2J_0(M_1, k+1), \quad \forall\, t \ge t_{k+1}.$$

The proof is complete. □

Proposition 3.3 implies the existence of a compact absorbing set for our dynamical system:

Theorem 3.2. *For each $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \ge 2$, the dynamical system $(V \times H_p^2, \Sigma(t))$ has a compact absorbing set bounded in the space $(V \cap H_p^s) \times H_p^{s+1}$. Namely, for any given $R > 0$ and each initial data (v_0, d_0) in the ball*

$$\mathcal{B}_R := \left\{(v_0, d_0) \in V \times H_p^2 : \|v_0\|_V^2 + \|d_0\|_{H^2}^2 \le R\right\},$$

there exists $\mathcal{B}_1 \subset (V \cap H_p^s) \times H_p^{s+1}$ whose radius is independent of R such that

$$(v(t), d(t)) = \Sigma(t)(v_0, d_0) \in \mathcal{B}_1, \quad \textit{for all } t \ge t_s(R).$$

4 Finite-dimensional Global Attractor

To prove the existence of the global attractor, we make use of the following abstract result (cf. [20, Corollary 6])

Lemma 4.1. *Let the closed semigroup $\Sigma(t)$ has a connect compact attracting set $\mathcal{K}$. Assume also that $\Sigma(t)\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{K}$ for every t sufficient large. Then $\Sigma(t)$ has a connected global attractor $\mathcal{A}$.*

Owing to the above lemma, we can take $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{B}_1$ as in Theorem 3.2 and obtain the existence of the global attractor $\mathcal{A}$. Its boundedness properties follow from Proposition 3.3.

It remains to prove that the attractor $\mathcal{A}$ has finite fractal dimension. First, we recall the definition of box-counting dimension.

Definition 4.1. *Let X be a (relatively) compact subset of a metric space E. For a given $\epsilon > 0$, let $N_\epsilon(X)$ be the minimal number of balls of radius ϵ that are necessary to cover X. Denote the Kolmogorov ϵ-entropy of X in E by $\mathcal{H}_\epsilon(X) = \log_2 N_\epsilon(X)$. Then the fractal dimension of X is the quantity* $\dim_F X := \limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}_\epsilon(X)}{\log_2 \epsilon^{-1}}$.

Also, we report a general result that ensures the finite fractal dimensionality of a compact set, namely (cf., e.g., [27, Theorem 4.1]),

Lemma 4.2. *Let X be a compact subset of Banach space E. We assume that there exist a Banach space E_1 such that E_1 is compactly embedded into E and a mapping $L : X \to X$ such that $L(X) = X$ and*

$$\|L(x_1) - L(x_2)\|_{E_1} \le c\|x_1 - x_2\|_E, \quad \forall\ x_1, x_2 \in X.$$

Then the fractal dimension of X is finite and satisfies

$$\dim_F X \le \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{4c}}(B_{E_1}(0,1)),$$

where $B_{E_1}(0,1)$ is the unit ball at origin in E_1.

We now prove the following smoothing property:

Lemma 4.3. *For any given $(v_{0i}, d_{0i}) \in \mathcal{A}$, $i = 1, 2$, the corresponding complete bounded trajectories (v_i, d_i) satisfy the estimate*

$$\|(v_1 - v_2)(1)\|^2_{H^2} + \|(d_1 - d_2)(1)\|^2_{H^3} \le C\left(\|v_{01} - v_{02}\|^2_{H^1} + \|d_{01} - d_{02}\|^2_{H^2}\right),$$

where C is a constant depending on $\|v_{i0}\|_{H^3}$ and $\|d_{0i}\|_{H^4}$.

Proof. Denote

$$\bar v = v_1 - v_2, \quad \bar d = d_1 - d_2, \quad \bar v_0 = v_{01} - v_{02}, \quad \bar d_0 = d_{01} - d_{02}. \tag{4.1}$$

Since (v_i, d_i) are solutions to problem (1.1)–(1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& v_{1t} + v_1 \cdot \nabla v_1 - \nu\Delta v_1 + \nabla P_1 \\
& \quad = -\nabla \cdot [\nabla d_1 \odot \nabla d_1 + \alpha(\Delta d_1 - f(d_1)) \otimes d_1 - (1-\alpha) d_1 \otimes (\Delta d_1 - f(d_1))], && (4.2)\\
& \nabla \cdot v_1 = 0, && (4.3)\\
& d_{1t} + v_1 \cdot \nabla d_1 - \alpha(\nabla v_1) d_1 + (1-\alpha)(\nabla^T v_1) d_1 = \Delta d_1 - f(d_1), && (4.4)\\
& v_{2t} + v_2 \cdot \nabla v_2 - \nu\Delta v_2 + \nabla P_2 \\
& \quad = -\nabla \cdot [\nabla d_2 \odot \nabla d_2 + \alpha(\Delta d_2 - f(d_2)) \otimes d_2 - (1-\alpha) d_2 \otimes (\Delta d_2 - f(d_2))], && (4.5)\\
& \nabla \cdot v_2 = 0, && (4.6)\\
& d_{2t} + v_2 \cdot \nabla d_2 - \alpha(\nabla v_2) d_2 + (1-\alpha)(\nabla^T v_2) d_2 = \Delta d_2 - f(d_2), && (4.7)
\end{aligned}$$

in $Q \times \mathbb{R}$. For $s \ge 1$, taking the H^s-inner product of $\bar v$ with the equation obtained by subtracting (4.5) from (4.2) and the H^{s+1}-inner product of $\bar d$ with the equation obtained by subtracting (4.7) from (4.4), respectively, adding the two resulting equations together, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|\bar v\|^2_{H^s} + \|\bar d\|^2_{H^{s+1}}\right) + \|\nabla \bar v\|^2_{H^s} + \|\nabla \bar d\|^2_{H^{s+1}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
= \ & -\langle v_2\cdot\nabla\bar v,\bar v\rangle_{H^s}-\langle \bar v\cdot\nabla v_1,\bar v\rangle_{H^s}-\langle\Delta\bar d\cdot\nabla d_1,\bar v\rangle_{H^s}\\
& -\langle\Delta d_2\cdot\nabla\bar d,\bar v\rangle_{H^s}+\alpha\langle\Delta\bar d\otimes d_1,\nabla\bar v\rangle_{H^s}+\alpha\langle\Delta d_2\otimes\bar d,\nabla\bar v\rangle_{H^s}\\
& -\alpha\langle(f(d_1)-f(d_2))\otimes d_1,\nabla\bar v\rangle_{H^s}-\alpha\langle f(d_2)\otimes\bar d,\nabla\bar v\rangle_{H^s}\\
& -(1-\alpha)\langle d_1\otimes\Delta\bar d,\nabla\bar v\rangle_{H^s}-(1-\alpha)\langle\bar d\otimes\Delta d_2,\nabla\bar v\rangle_{H^s}\\
& +(1-\alpha)\langle d_1\otimes(f(d_1)-f(d_2)),\nabla\bar v\rangle_{H^s}+(1-\alpha)\langle\bar d\otimes f(d_2),\nabla\bar v\rangle_{H^s}\\
& -\langle f(d_1)-f(d_2),\bar d\rangle_{H^{s+1}}-\langle\bar v\cdot\nabla d_1,\bar d\rangle_{H^{s+1}}-\langle v_2\cdot\nabla\bar d,\bar d\rangle_{H^{s+1}}\\
& +\alpha\langle(\nabla\bar v)d_1,\bar d\rangle_{H^{s+1}}+\alpha\langle(\nabla v_2)\bar d,\bar d\rangle_{H^{s+1}}\\
& -(1-\alpha)\langle(\nabla^T\bar v)d_1,\bar d\rangle_{H^{s+1}}-(1-\alpha)\langle(\nabla^T v_2)\bar d,\bar d\rangle_{H^{s+1}}. \qquad (4.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{A}\subset(C^\infty(Q))^2$, then $(v_{0i},d_{0i})\in H^s\times H^{s+1}$ for any $s\ge 2$. We infer from (3.16) that

$$\|v_i(t)\|_{H^s}^2+\|d_i(t)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2\le\left(\|v_{0i}\|_{H^s}^2+\|d_{0i}\|_{H^{s+1}}^2\right)e^{-t}+J,\quad\forall\, t\ge 0,$$

where J depends only on $\|v_i\|_{H^1}$, $\|d_i\|_{H^2}$ that are uniformly bounded by Lemma 3.2. As a result, we have uniform-in-time estimates of the Sobolev norms of (v_i,d_i) of any order $s\in\mathbb{N}$. Using these higher-order estimates, it is not difficult to bound the right-hand side of (4.8) as in Lemma 3.5 (actually much simpler). We have

$$\text{r.h.s of (4.8)}\le\varepsilon\|\bar v\|_{H^{s+1}}^2+\varepsilon\|\bar d\|_{H^{s+2}}^2+C_\varepsilon\left(\|\bar v\|_{H^s}^2+\|\bar d\|_{H^{s+1}}^2\right).$$

Choosing $\varepsilon=\frac12$, we obtain that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|\bar v\|_{H^s}^2+\|\bar d\|_{H^{s+1}}^2\right)+\|\bar v\|_{H^{s+1}}^2+\|\bar d\|_{H^{s+2}}^2\le K(s)\left(\|\bar v\|_{H^s}^2+\|\bar d\|_{H^{s+1}}^2\right). \qquad (4.9)$$

where $K(s)$ is a constant depending on $\|v_{0i}\|_{H^{s+1}}$ and $\|d_{0i}\|_{H^{s+2}}$ at most.

Taking $s=1$ in (4.9), it follows from the Gronwall inequality that

$$\|\bar v(t)\|_{H^1}^2+\|\bar d(t)\|_{H^2}^2\le e^{K(1)t}\left(\|\bar v_0\|_{H^1}^2+\|\bar d_0\|_{H^2}^2\right),\quad\forall\, t\ge 0,$$

which implies

$$\int_0^1(\|\bar v(t)\|_{H^2}^2+\|\bar d(t)\|_{H^3}^2)dt\le\left(1+e^{K(1)}\right)\left(\|\bar v_0\|_{H^1}^2+\|\bar d_0\|_{H^2}^2\right).$$

Next, taking $s=2$, multiplying (4.9) by t and integrating in time from 0 to 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\bar v(1)\|_{H^2}^2+\|\bar d(1)\|_{H^3}^2\\
\le\ & \int_0^1\left(\|\bar v(t)\|_{H^2}^2+\|\bar d(t)\|_{H^3}^2\right)dt+K(2)\int_0^1 t\left(\|\bar v(t)\|_{H^2}^2+\|\bar d(t)\|_{H^3}^2\right)dt\\
\le\ & (1+K(2))\left(1+e^{K(1)}\right)\left(\|\bar v_0\|_{H^1}^2+\|\bar d_0\|_{H^2}^2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Taking

$$X=\mathcal{A},\quad E=V\times H_p^2,\quad E_1=(V\cap H_p^2)\times H_p^3,\quad L=\Sigma(1),$$

we can apply Lemma 4.2 to conclude that the global attractor $\mathcal{A}$ has finite fractal dimension in the $H^1 \times H^2$-metric. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is now complete.

Acknowledgments. This work originated from a visit of the first author to the Fudan University whose hospitality is gratefully acknowledged. The first author has also been partially supported by the the Italian MIUR-PRIN Research Project 2008 *Transizioni di fase, isteresi e scale multiple*. The second author was partially supported by NSF of China 11001058, NSF of Shanghai 10ZR1403800 and "Chen Guang" project supported by Shanghai Municipal Education Commission and Shanghai Education Development Foundation.

References

[1] S. Bosia, Well-posedness and long term behavior of a simplified Ericksen–Leslie non-autonomous system for nematic liquid crystal flow, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., to appear.

[2] C. Cavaterra and E. Rocca, On a $3D$ isothermal model for nematic liquid crystals accounting for stretching terms, preprint arXiv:1107.3947v1 (2011), 1–14.

[3] B. Climent-Ezquerra, F. Guillen-González and M. Rojas-Medar, Reproductivity for a nematic liquid crystal model, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., **57** (2006), 984–998.

[4] B. Climent-Ezquerra, F. Guillen-González and M.J. Moreno-Iraberte, Regularity and time-periodicity for a nematic liquid crystal model, Nonlinear Anal., **71** (2009), 530–549.

[5] D. Coutand and S. Shkoller, Well-posedness of the full Ericksen–Leslie model of nematic liquid crystals, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math., **333** (2001), 919–924.

[6] P.G. de Gennes and J. Prost, *The physics of liquid crystals*, second edition, Oxford Science Publications, Oxford, 1993.

[7] J.L. Ericksen, Conservation laws for liquid crystals, Trans. Soc. Rheol., **5** (1961), 22–34.

[8] J.L. Ericksen, Liquid crystals with variable degree of orientation, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **113** (1991), 97–120.

[9] J. Fan and T. Ozawa, Regularity criteria for a simplified Ericksen–Leslie system modeling the flow of liquid crystals, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **25** (2009), 859–867.

[10] F. Guillen-González, M. A. Rodríguez-Bellido and M. A. Rojas-Medar, Sufficient conditions for regularity and uniqueness of a 3D nematic liquid crystal model, Math. Nachr., **282** (2009), 846–867.

[11] X. Hu and D. Wang, Global solution to the three-dimensional incompressible flow of liquid crystals, Commun. Math. Phys., **296** (2010), 861–880.

[12] T. Kato and G. Ponce, Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier–Stokes equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **41** (1988), 891–907.

[13] F.M. Leslie, Theory of flow phenomena in liquid crystals, in Advances in Liquid Crystals, Vol. 4, 1–81, Academic Press, New York, 1979.

[14] F.-H. Lin and C. Liu, Nonparabolic dissipative system modeling the flow of liquid crystals, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **48** (1995), 501–537.

[15] F.-H. Lin and C. Liu, Existence of solutions for the Ericksen–Leslie system, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **154** (2000), 135–156.

[16] P. Lin, C. Liu and H. Zhang, An energy law preserving C^0 finite element scheme for simulating the kinematic effects in liquid crystal dynamics, J. Comput. Phys., **227** (2007), 1411–1427.

[17] C. Liu and J. Shen, On liquid crystal flows with free-slip boundary conditions, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **7** (2001), 307–318.

[18] C. Liu and N.J. Walkington, Approximation of liquid crystal flows, SIAM J. Numerical Anal., **37** (2000), 725–741.

[19] C. Liu and N.J. Walkington, Mixed methods for the approximation of liquid crystal flows, M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal., **36** (2002), 205–222.

[20] V. Pata and S. Zelik, A result on the existence of global attractors for semigroups of closed operators, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., **6** (2007), 481–486.

[21] S. Shkoller, Well-posedness and global attractors for liquid crystals on Riemannian manifolds, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **27** (2001), 1103–1137.

[22] H. Sun and C. Liu, On energetic variational approaches in modeling the nematic liquid crystal flows, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **23** (2009), 455–475.

[23] R. Temam, *Navier–Stokes equations and nonlinear functional analysis*, Second edition, CBMS-NSF Reg. Conf. Ser. Appl. Math., **66**, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1995.

[24] H. Wu, Long-time behavior for nonlinear hydrodynamic system modeling the nematic liquid crystal flows, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **26** (2010), 379–396.

[25] H. Wu, X. Xu and C. Liu, Asymptotic behavior for a nematic liquid crystal model with different kinematic transport properties, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 2011, online first, DOI 10.1007/s00526-011-0460-5.

[26] H. Wu, X. Xu and C. Liu, On the general Ericksen–Leslie system: Parodi's relation, well-posedness and stability, accepted by Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 2012, arXiv:1105.2180 (2011)

[27] S. Zelik, The attractor for a nonlinear reaction–diffusion system with a supercritical nonlinearity and its dimension, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat. Appl., **24** (2000), 1–25.

[28] S. Zheng, *Nonlinear evolution equations*, Pitman series Monographs and Survey in Pure and Applied Mathematics, **133**, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2004.